



1 **Summer Germination Prevention Flow and Ice Scour Monitoring Protocol**

2 It has previously been shown that plant species vary in response to longer periods of inundation.
3 Early proponents of germination prevention flow releases in the central Platte recommended that
4 a release be sustained during the entire month of June in order to prevent cottonwood seed
5 germination (Johnson 1994). This timing is slightly later than the Service’s late spring pulse period
6 of May 20 to June 20. Two-dimension hydrodynamic modelling was used to bracket the range of
7 flows necessary to inundate sandbars in areas where the channel has been maintained for whooping
8 crane roosting. Based on a May 15, 2019 river survey and 2D hydrodynamic modeling, it appears
9 a flow bracket of 1,800 – 2,100 cfs would be adequate to inundate approximately 95% the channel
10 leaving a minimal area of sandbars exposed one to three inches above the water surface. Water
11 affects plant growth directly by reducing respiration and photosynthesis during inundation.
12 Sandbar inundation is hypothesized to minimize or prevent the establishment of vegetation.

13 Plant ecologists have often focused their studies on the summer period, largely ignoring the fact
14 that processes during winter also impact vegetation dynamics. Ice dynamics is an important factor
15 affecting vegetation in streams and rivers. Ice is an important driver of riverine dynamics and may
16 cause stress and disturbance to riparian and aquatic vegetation as moving ice can damage and
17 mechanically remove riparian vegetation. During cold, icy winters with high flows, seedling
18 mortality is highest when seedlings establish on exposed sandbars, which cause them to be highly
19 exposed to ice disturbance. Combining the potential of summer flows preventing establishment of
20 vegetation on inundated sandbars while elevated sandbars in the channel become vegetated and
21 the effects of ice scour on removal of seedling vegetation on elevated sandbars could potentially
22 help drive the maintenance of wide unobstructed channel widths. The objectives of this study are
23 two-fold. The first objective is to determine if sandbar inundating flows are effective at reducing
24 vegetation establishment within the channel. The second objective is to determine the efficacy of
25 ice-scour at removing established 1–2-year old vegetation within the channel. Results of this study
26 will be used to inform Program water management and modeling activities.

27 **METHODS**

28 In April of 2019, the Program began developing an updated Adaptive Management Plan (AMP)
29 to be implemented during the First Increment Extension, 2020-2032. Early discussions indicate
30 that a late-spring to early-summer long-duration flow release of moderate magnitude may be
31 effective in suppressing vegetation germination for the purpose of maintaining suitable
32 unobstructed channel widths. In addition, the role ice scour plays on creating and maintaining wide
33 unobstructed channel widths emerged as an area of interest. As such, sandbar inundation and
34 vegetation establishment will be monitored throughout the summer and fall to determine the
35 efficacy of this strategy at controlling vegetation establishment and during the winter months
36 (October – March) to document the efficacy of ice scour at removing established vegetation.



37 *Vegetation Establishment and Sandbar Inundation Monitoring*

38 Two or three time-lapse cameras will be placed at each Program complex to monitor sandbar
39 inundation and vegetation germination June–September. Each camera will be strategically placed
40 to monitor higher elevation sandbars that will be inundated by the least amount as to capture the
41 effects of inundating flows and hydrocycling on seedling germination. These cameras will be set
42 to record a photograph of emergent sandbars every hour during the daytime. Where possible, the
43 time-lapse cameras will be set on the south bank of the main channel and will face norward
44 perpendicular to flow.

45 Channels will also be monitored with GoPro cameras near the 1st and 15th of each month (May–
46 September), photographs of emergent vegetation within the channel will be taken, and
47 documentation of vegetation composition and height will be recorded during each of these surveys.
48 Photographs will be taken and vegetation surveys will be conducted within the time-lapse cameras’
49 field of view to provide species-specific information about vegetation captured on the time-lapse
50 cameras. Water surface elevations will be measured on Program Habitat Complexes during the
51 summer to validate the modeled flow range and verify bar inundation in the channel.

52 *Ice Scour Monitoring*

53 During October–March, two or three time-lapse cameras will be placed at each Program complex
54 to monitor the effects of ice scour on low and mid-elevation sandbars with established vegetation.
55 Each camera will be placed to monitor higher elevation sandbars with newly established (<2-years)
56 annual and perennial vegetation. These cameras will be set to record a photograph of vegetated
57 sandbars every hour during the daytime. The time-lapse cameras will be set on the bank of the
58 main channel and oriented perpendicular to the channel.

59 **SYSTEM-SCALE GEOMORPHOLOGY AND VEGETATION MONITORING**

60 In addition to field data collection efforts, the Program collects imagery and bathometric LiDAR
61 data annually during June/July and again during October/November when flows are low. This data
62 will be used in conjunction with the Program’s system-scale geomorphology and vegetation
63 monitoring protocol to document annual changes in vegetation distribution and height and
64 unobstructed channel widths.

65 **STUDY IMPLICATIONS**

66 After 12 years of study (2007–2018), the Program has concluded short duration high flows (SDHF;
67 5,000–8,000 cfs for three–five days days) are highly unlikely to create or maintain suitable least
68 tern and piping plover nesting habitat or whooping crane roosting habitat. As a result, the Program
69 has opted to abandon the construction of in-channel islands for interior least terns and piping
70 plovers in favor of off-channel options. Contrarily, the Program has observed little use of off-
71 channel habitats (palustrine wetlands and wet meadows) by whooping cranes and has committed
72 to maintaining ≥ 650 -foot unobstructed channel widths on Program complexes where possible.
73 Results from this study will help to identify river processes that are most effective at maintaining
74 these wide unobstructed channel widths. If found to be effective, germination prevention



75 Environmental Account (EA) flow releases could be considered for a potential Second Increment
76 flow management action. Secondly, an evaluation of the influence of ice scour on established
77 vegetation will be incorporated into modelling exercises to better understand the influence of
78 winter-time river processes on the persistence of vegetation within the channel.