

09/09/2014

1 2	Governance C	ERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM ommittee Meeting Minutes
3		pment Commission – Cheyenne, WY
4	Ju	ne 10-11, 2014
5	Tuese	lov lune 10, 2011
6 7	<u>Tuesc</u>	<u>lay, June 10, 2014</u>
7 8	Me	eting Attendees
9		
10	Governance Committee (GC) Table	Executive Director's Office (EDO) Staff
11	State of Wyoming	Jerry Kenny, Executive Director (ED)
12	Harry LaBonde – Member (Chair)	Bridget Barron
13	-	Jason Farnsworth
14		Bruce Sackett
15	State of Colorado	Sira Sartori
16	Don Ament – Member	Chad Smith
17	Suzanne Sellers – Alternate	Kevin Werbylo
18		
19	State of Nebraska	Audience Members
20	Jim Schneider – Member	Deb Freeman – Colorado Water Users
21		Brock Merrill – BOR
22	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service)	Mike Drain – CNPPID
23	Kirk Schroeder – Alternate	Matt Rabbe – Service
24		Nathan Darnall – Service
25	Bureau of Reclamation (BOR)	Duane Hovorka – Nebraska Wildlife Federation
26	Gary Campbell – Member	Tim Martin – RTI Monte McDonald – RTI
27	Environmental Entities	Dave Marmorek – ISAC
28 20	John Heaston – Member	Jennifer Hoeting – ISAC
29 30	Bill Taddicken – Member	Ned Andrews – ISAC
30 31	Din Taddicken – Weinber	Adrian Farmer – ISAC
32	Upper Platte Water Users	
33	Dennis Strauch – Member	
34	George Williams – Member	
35		
36	Colorado Water Users	
37	Alan Berryman – Member	
38	•	
39	Downstream Water Users	
40	Brian Barels – Member	
41	Don Kraus – Member	
42	Mark Czaplewski – Member	
43	Kent Miller – Member	

09/09/2014

PRRIP - ED OFFICE FINAL



44 Welcome & Administrative

- LaBonde called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. Mountain Time. LaBonde announced that the Pathfinder account is full and that water is available to the Program. The group proceeded with introductions.
- 47 muo 48
- 49 *Schneider moved to approve the March 2014 GC minutes; Strauch seconded.* Minutes approved.
- 50

51 **Program Committee Updates**

52 *Land Advisory Committee (LAC)*

Czaplewski provided an update on the latest LAC activities. The LAC met via conference call on June 6
 and discussed action on Tract 1402 and a report from the Public Access subcommittee. The next LAC
 meeting is July 29 in Kearney.

- 56
- 57 *Water Advisory Committee (WAC)*

Sartori provided an update on the latest WAC activities. The WAC met on May 6 in Gothenburg and discussed Water Action Plan items, a water service agreement for the Phelps Canal recharge project, water leasing opportunities, an update on the hydroclimatic indices report, the annual flow summary report, the ET monitoring plan for wet meadows, a Nebraska DNR report on sandpit impacts on river

flow, and depletions plans. The next WAC meeting is August 12 in Ogallala.

- 63
- 64 Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

65 Sellers provided an update on the latest TAC activities. The TAC met jointly with the ISAC on April 22-

- 66 23 in Omaha. The first agenda item was a discussion about PRRIP tern and plover monitoring activities.
- The rest of the discussion focused on the tern and plover synthesis chapters developed by the EDO. The group conducted a field trip of the lower Platte River on April 23. The ISAC met alone on April 24 and
- 68 group conducted a field trip of the lower Pla69 will report their findings later today.
- 70
- 71 Finance Committee (FC)

Campbell provided an update on the latest FC activities. The FC had a conference call on June 3 and recommended moving the ISR contract on to the GC for approval, approved additional work on the Shoemaker Island FSM project, and approved preparation of manuscripts related to vegetation research on the central Platte that will be brought back to the GC for review and publication approval.

76

77 **Program Outreach Update**

78 **PRESENTATIONS**

- Jerry Kenny and Bridget Barron represented the Program at the Missouri River Workshop of America's Watershed Initiative (AWI) Report Card Project held in Rapid City, South Dakota on May 22 and 23, 2014. AWI conducted workshops in each of the sub-basins of the Mississippi River in order to develop a report card for the entire Mississippi River Basin. The draft report card will be presented at the AWI Summit in Louisville, Kentucky on September 30th through October 2, 2014.
- 84

85 EXHIBITS/SPONSORSHIPS

- The Program exhibited at Audubon's Nebraska Crane Festival (formerly known as Rivers & Wildlife Celebration) in Kearney, Nebraska on March 22, 2014. We made 206 contacts.
- The Program was a sponsor of the Nebraska Natural Resources Districts Envirothon State Competition on May 3, 2014. The Envirothon has high school teams competing in seven areas of environmental studies; soils, aquatics, forestry, wildlife, range, and current environmental policy. The



- Program sponsored the wildlife station and for the third year in a row the team from Concordia High won the wildlife station competition and also won the overall championship.
- 93

94 UPCOMING PRESENTATIONS/EXHIBITS

- The Program is a co-sponsor of the Annual Water and Natural Resources Tour, presented by the Nebraska Water Center, Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District (CNPPID) and the Kearney Area Chamber of Commerce. This year the tour is of the Upper Platte River Watershed and takes place from July 15-18, 2014. Jerry Kenny will also be presenting on the Program to the tour participants at the Elm Creek Complex on July 15th.
- Chad Smith will be attending the Conference on Ecological and Ecosystem Restoration (CEER) in New Orleans, Louisiana from July 28 – August 1, 2014. Chad will be the moderator of a panel discussion titled, "Collaborative Adaptive Management". The panel will include previous ISAC member Kent Loftin. CEER is a collaborative effort of the National Conference on Ecosystem Restoration (NCER) and the Society for Ecological Restoration (SER). Jerry Kenny and Bridget Barron will also be attending the conference.

107 MEDIA/OTHER

- On March 12, 2014 the Kearney Hub published an article about the Program titled, "Record-setting payment made to Platte program, reservoirs project". Jerry Kenny was interviewed for the article.
- On March 13, 2014 the Kearney Hub published an article about the Program's 2013 State of the Platte report as presented in the Governance Committee meeting. Chad Smith was quoted in the article.
- The Program hosted Dr. Jane Goodall and nature photographer, Tom Mangelsen, in the Binfield blind
 on March 21, 2014.
- The Lincoln Journal Star published an article by Jerry Kenny about the Platte River Recreation Access (PRRA) program in the Sunday, June 1St paper.
- 117 Distribution of Program lapel pins.
- 118

106

119 PRRIP FY14 Budget Update

Kenny discussed the status of the FY14 PRRIP budget and associated expenditures and contracts. We are closing in on spending \$80 million since initiation of the Program. Another item to note on the summary at the bottom is that we are still taking expenditures from the federal dollars to equalize expenditures with state dollars. There are about another \$2 million in expenditures from federal dollars before we are back to strict proportionate expenditures. Schneider asked if J2 expenditures will get paid out soon or will those funds just sit. Kenny said that needs discussed with the GC and we will discuss it at the end of the J2 update later today.

- 127
- Kenny discussed Program income from land management. The Program is just shy of \$100,000 inincome to date. With increased land values, the Program's tax burden has increased significantly.
- 130
- Smith discussed the multi-year contract with RESPEC for Independent Science Review services.
 Czaplewski moved to approve the contract; Heaston seconded. ISR contract approved.
- 133
- 134
- 135 136



137 Kearney Canal

Farnsworth introduced the Kearney Canal sedimentation analysis report. Werbylo provided a
 presentation on the report. Kraus asked about the concentration of sediment between years. Werbylo said
 the concentration relationship was not reported.

141

142 J2 Reservoir Update

Kraus and Drain provided an update on the status of the J2 project. Kraus said all initial contributions 143 were done on time and according to schedule. Drain said financial reporting is required on an annual 144 basis but future quarterly reports could include some of that detail. Ament asked about the water rights 145 petition filing. Drain said they believe they are adding more regulation to existing facilities and not 146 changing the amount or date of diversion. The Nebraska DNR has to be petitioned for approval. The 147 Central Platte NRD does have instream flows in the 3-mile section of river that will be bypassed so there 148 has been consultation with the Central Platte NRD. Ament asked if they were amenable. Drain said yes, 149 there was a question about reporting and measurement of the amount of flow that is bypassed with annual 150 reporting. Czaplewski said that is just to track it over time. LaBonde asked if there was any discussion of 151 when a decision would be made on the petition. Drain said no. Schneider said he does not know either 152 but it is being processed. Kenny said there is a lot of work going on but most of that is through 153 consultants. Of the \$20 million set aside, most of that remains intact until land acquisition gets into full 154 swing. Kraus said he is going to track down what is in the Program account and what has been expended 155 through June 1. Kraus said there is not a need to send another invoice right now. Schneider said 156 technically the Program would not have to make another payment for three years. The Nebraska DNR is 157 budgeting for this similar to the Program but wonders if an amendment to the agreement is required to 158 start making payments more quickly. Kenny asked if getting an invoice this year would be a benefit. 159 Schneider said it is not critical but could be helpful. Campbell said having a yearly statement will help 160 the cause and spending in chunks would be beneficial. Schneider said Nebraska can stage its money 161 through the NCF if necessary. Kenny said he will discuss this further with the Department of the Interior 162 and CNPPID and will have a recommendation at the September 2014 GC meeting. 163

164

Campbell asked about the footprint of the dam and whether impounding more water will result in more 165 water in the account. Drain said he was not sure on exact yield levels but that the initial design was about 166 167 12,000 acre-feet and the adjusted design is about 15,000 acre-feet. There may be more opportunity for storage but the final footprint and modifications to the design are not yet final. LaBonde said we will not 168 know until there is a final design report. From his perspective, he would be interested in optimizing 169 storage if it is available so he requested keeping the GC apprised of these potential design changes. 170 Sellers noted that the J2 project does have a few sentences on an annual report in Section 6 and she is 171 assuming that is part of the annual report that Campbell referenced. Drain said yes. 172

173

174 Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC)

Marmorek provided a presentation on the ISAC report that resulted from the April 2014 ISAC meeting in 175 Omaha. Kraus asked what kind of nesting islands the ISAC was recommending be constructed in the 176 channel. Marmorek said islands that have been created but some that would persist even at the highest 177 flows. Czaplewski expressed concern that approach had been tried before but had not yielded positive 178 long-term results. Marmorek said the effort to build islands on the Platte should be linked to analyses of 179 other river systems and what is different between the Platte and those systems. Czaplewski said there has 180 been a variety of islands built on the Platte and nesting islands on other rivers like the Niobrara are much 181 different than what we see on the Platte. Kraus asked if the discharge slide for the Niobrara would look 182 like the one Marmorek showed for the Platte. Farnsworth said on average Platte peaks are in June, on 183



09/09/2014

average Niobrara peaks are in April. The Platte peaks come at a time when plover nests have been 184 initiated and terns are in the middle of nest initiation; higher flows lead to nest inundation. Schroeder said 185 he disagrees with being able to build nesting habitat on the river. Successful nesting islands have been 186 built at low cost and led to successful nesting in 2008 and 2009. The difficulty is keeping those islands in 187 place. One way to build semi-permanent habitat would be to clear wooded islands that are already well 188 above many high flow events. Taddicken said the key seems to be keeping nesting islands available for 189 one year for nesting. Schneider said it sounds like the ISAC is suggesting we need to do something 190 different than what has been done before. Is that going outside of the Adaptive Management Plan? 191 Marmorek said it is really a question of MCM version 1 versus MCM version 2 – that means, building 192 islands larger and higher than you may have anticipated. 193

194

200

NOTE: Chad Smith from the EDO took the minutes above on this topic. However, Smith engaged in this
 discussion and while doing so Jennifer Hoeting of the ISAC kindly took as many notes as she could
 during the discussion. Speakers are identified in places where she knew names. Those notes are
 provided below:

199 1. For BQ6: is this for both on-river and off-river sites?

Marmorek: Only off-channel sites meet the criteria of suitable habitat

- 201 2. <u>Czaplewski</u>: \$350,000/year spent to collect those data. Can you get similar accuracy by doing only
 202 the off-site sampling (spotting scope) for about \$50,000/year?
- 203 <u>Marmorek</u>: Based my experience with other controversial river/animal issues, things work more 204 smoothly when you have the best possible data upon which to base decisions
- Barels: (scenario slide). Is there a 5th scenario? It could be that these birds are feeding a meta population in another location. Need to add this 5th possibility.
- 207 <u>Marmorek</u>: yes, this is not an exhaustive list.
- 208 <u>Smith</u>: there is a tern meta-population model being created. The program is submitting data to for 209 this modeling.
- 4. BQ7: mechanically create habitat which persists long enough: clarify about what you mean.
- 211 <u>Marmorek</u>: want island in the channel that is higher and not vegetated. Needs to be able to 212 survive high flows.
- 213 Question: but doesn't the high flow erode them?
- 5. <u>Czaplewski</u>: Aren't you just asking to repeat what has already been done and failed? Almost 30 years ago the crane trust built islands but they failed.
- 216 <u>Marmorek</u>: not everyone agrees with you.
- 217 <u>Czaplewski</u>: many islands have been built in the NP. Pursuing semi-permanent human 218 constructed islands is not feasible.
- 219 ...discussion about different grain sizes on different river systems.
- 6. If you look at a plot of the discharge of the Niobrara, would the flows look similarly variable?
- Farnsworth: discussion of timing of peak flow and nesting timing.
- 222 7. <u>Schroeder</u>: I disagree with Czaplewski about not being to create habitat on the river on Audubon and
 223 Crane Trust property. Difficulty is to maintain these. We should just remove trees from existing
 224 islands.
- 225 <u>Czaplewski</u>: Habitat was created and it failed.

226	Marmorek: debate will continue until the program creates in-channel habitat
227	8. Are you suggesting that we should do something different with the islands? Aren't you violating the
228	adaptive management agreement?
229	9. Do you need to take snow levels into account when determining size of the sandbar to build?
230	10. Farnsworth: we have been trying to put the paired design in the river. However, timing is really
231	challenging. We can only build in Aug-Nov, but then the next high flow kills off the islands (2013)
232	or too dry (2012). Building Aug-Nov only works if there is a lowish peak in June AND there is
233	enough water remaining when birds are nesting.
234	11. Has all the historical information been collected?
235	Smith: yes, we now have a chapter about tern and plover historical use on the river. We also
236	have a chapter describing the nesting that has occurred on the river.
237	Farnsworth: we are writing a chapter where we describe every nest that has survived along the
238	river.
239	12. Does the AMP allow us to change how we build habitat? Do we have this flexibility?
240	Smith: GC can decide what they want us to do. Do you want to spend resources building things
241	that the data suggest that the river cannot do itself? Is so, then you are doing the way of the fully
242	mechanical strategy.
243	13. Is MCM a hypothesis in the AMP?
244	<u>Smith</u> : yes
245	14. You've got to build the islands every year.
246	Marmorek: there are different kinds of islands with different costs. GC needs to decide which
247	one is acceptable given costs and benefits?
248	15. Should we build concrete sandboxes? Barges?
249	16. Farnsworth: We got off topic. We need to focus on the question: do birds prefer or do better on
250	channel or off channel habitat?
251	17. BQ7: If you have off-channel habitat and it is maintaining the population, isn't that sufficient? Key
252	idea: necessary to maintain.
253	18. Schroeder: for a species to survive long term, do you need both on and off channel? If ESA
254	protection goes away, will off-channel habitat be maintained? That's why we need to answer BQ7.
255	19. Missing idea: sustainability of habitat. We only focus on sustainability of population.
256	Marmorek: that's what Farnsworth's papers look at.
257	20. Continued discussion about whether the habitat is sustainable – both off and on-channel.
258	21. <u>Schneider</u> : Irony here. The river isn't regulated enough. If we had a few more big reservoirs, we
259	could time this. I'm not arguing for this, but we don't have enough space to make the right kinds of
260	flows happen.
261	<u>Farnsworth</u> : need to shift the hydrology to make it more like the Niobrara or the Missouri River.
262	In the 1800's the peak happened after the 2^{nd} week of June.
263	22. Going forward the river is going to have more flash floods and uncontrollable flows. Because of this,
264	effort should be focused on off-channel habitat.

09/09/2014

- 265 23. <u>Marmorek</u>: story from Columbia River. Barging fish vs not: people argued which method had better
 fish survival. Pit tags showed that Barged fish survived ½ as well. Until the data were there, no one
 accepted the conclusions.
- 268 24. Which population are we trying to maintain? Are we creating a population sink by putting islands in 269 the river that then get washed away? Is this smart?
- FWS: We can get even more birds with both habitat types. We need more data to answer that question.
- 272 <u>Farnsworth</u>: we need a management objective that is concrete.
- 273 25. <u>Smith</u>: Good discussion.
- 274 26. <u>Czaplewski</u>: this was a good discussion that needed to happen at the GC.
- 275 27. <u>Schneider</u>: is it true that what we thought was limiting isn't limited? We need some original 276 thinking.
- 277 28. Trend line shows that as habitat increases, bird numbers increase.
- 278 29. <u>Schneider</u>: arguments for both sides of whether on/off channel habitat is limiting?
- 279 30. <u>Marmorek</u>: why do birds tend to go to some off-channel places but not others?
- 31. Do birds go to the newest habitat that eventually gets discovered by turtles and predators?
- 32. <u>Schneider</u>: should we do a paired study where we create different types of off-channel habitat to
 investigate which types the birds prefer?
- 283 33. If FSM doesn't work, should we be doing MCM?
- Again, this point was argued (see point 7).
- Smith: I hope we can move the papers to peer review. Can move to 2 thumbs down on question 1.
- 287 Meeting adjourned at 4:48 p.m. Mountain Time.

Wednesday, June 11, 2014

291 Welcome and Administrative

LaBonde called the meeting to order at 8:01 a.m. Mountain Time and the group proceeded with introductions.

294

286

288

289 290

295 Platte River Recreational Access (PRRA) Program

Haahr gave a presentation on PRRA Program in 2013 and plans for 2014. Ament asked how much 296 trespass citations cost. Haahr said up to \$250, more than one violation will lead to a person being banned 297 from further access. Hovorka asked about the total number of users. Haahr said around 300 users. 298 Schroeder said the Service really appreciates all the effort put into making the access program a success 299 and they strongly support expanding the access program. Haahr said there is a public meeting in March 300 every year to discuss the program. LaBonde said it would be interesting for the GC to hear some of the 301 concerns raised at the public meeting. Haahr said the main item was complaints from neighbors of the 302 Johns Tract and the Wyoming Tract that would prefer not having public access to PRRIP sites next to 303 their land. Several people came to the public meeting to voice their support for the program. Sackett said 304 there was some concern voiced about rifle deer hunting and public safety issues. Heaston said there are 305 also complaints about making access by foot only instead of vehicles. Schroeder said he and Taddicken 306 were recently at a conference in West Virginia about public outdoor recreation opportunities and the 307 PRRA is a model being used to develop similar programs in other states. 308



09/09/2014

Barels asked if the group has considered a buffer to keep users away from private property boundaries to 309 enhance the Good Neighbor Policy. Heaston said we could include that in the list of good practices that 310 accompany the permission slips. Otherwise, it would require marking all the boundaries and we do not 311 have the resources to do that. Barels said adding it to the list of good practices would be a good first step. 312 Barels asked if on the land where we allow waterfowl hunting we own both sides of the river. Heaston 313 said no. Barels said that is often a problem on the Platte with sheriff departments because of the "thread 314 of the stream" property issues. Schroeder said we have not had complaints about waterfowl hunters being 315 off property so far. Heaston said rifle deer hunting is the activity that seems to raise the most concerns. 316

317

318 Platte River Habitat Partnership

Heaston discussed the idea of creating a more formal partnership to deal with vegetation management and 319 other in-channel activities with the Program and several other entities. The request of the Program is to 320 use money the Program is already spending for cost share on phragmites spraying to contribute to this 321 effort. Farnsworth said the phragmites effort is winding down because of the large spraying effort that 322 has occurred but we don't want to stop management because it will come back. While spraying phrag is 323 good, the Program is looking at management on this 90-mile stretch of river and looking at what has 324 happened in the channel. The objective is to maintain a wide, unvegetated channel and over time channel 325 widths have declined especially when vegetation management is not completed. The idea is to broaden 326 out from just vegetation management to expanding channel conveyance. This would help to expand the 327 potential for land to "count" during the Second Increment given that the acquisition of 10,000 new acres 328 may not be likely. Heaston said this would provide a standard to present to a landowner as options for a 329 project. This is money the Program is already spending. Heaston's proposal is asking for permission to 330 leverage that funding in a grant application to the Nebraska Environmental Trust to build this new 331 partnership and related channel metrics. Taddicken asked Schroeder what it cost to do this kind of work 332 on a large scale in the past. Schroeder said the average cost to run a disk for a 50-mile stretch was about 333 \$3,000 per mile. 334

335

Taddicken asked how this work would be related to Program management. Farnsworth and Heaston said 336 it would be tied to Program management objectives and also tied to best practices according to the 337 location of any property in question on the river and what is necessary in that location. Taddicken asked 338 if you have an idea of landowner participation upstream of Kearney. Heaston said we have had a lot of 339 positive feedback about other actions available beyond just spraying. Schroeder asked if the position to 340 be filled for this work would be able to coordinate work no matter the location. Heaston said ves. It 341 would be a continuation of the work being done by Rich Walters. Taddicken asked if the money would 342 be used to fund a full-time position and related activities. Heaston said yes. LaBonde asked about 343 timing. Heaston said grant applications are due at the beginning of September and the money would be 344 available in spring 2015. 345

346

Schneider said it makes sense not to give up ground we have already gained through spraying. But, he 347 does not want this to become a slippery slope that we go too far and then are locked into maintaining the 348 whole channel from Lexington to Chapman forever. Schroeder said large-scale clearing started with the 349 Trust in the 1980's and the work has continued and scaled up since then. Schroeder said the point is more 350 power to those entities but that is not what this Program is all about. Kraus asked who the partners are 351 and what the proposal will look like. Heaston said the grant would be administered by the RBJV and 352 TNC would host the position. The purpose is to match and build on Program funds that are already 353 committed. Berryman asked about the duration. Heaston said it would be a three-year grant. Kraus 354 asked if would be Program dollars in 2014 or 2015. Farnsworth said we would continue to allocate about 355



\$200,000 a year in future budgets and that would be the Program's match that would be leveraged into this new effort.

358

Williams asked if spraying phrag sterilizes the soil. Farnsworth said that generally happens, but if you don't loosen the soil up around the roots species like canarygrass and spurge will come back. Strauch asked if our dollars will go toward spraying only. Heaston said those entities would be involved in this partnership so the partners would be the same but the funding would be directed at activities beyond just spraying. Williams asked if chemicals leach into the water and affect crops downstream. Heaston said all the chemicals are labeled for aquatic use and water quality monitoring is conducted. Farnsworth said spraying generally occurs after irrigation diversions are over.

366

Berryman asked what is being looked for today. Heaston said he wants to start writing the grant 367 application for submission prior to the next GC meeting. Kenny said what Heaston is looking for is GC 368 approval to use Program monies in the future as match in this grant application. Funding has come 369 through WP1-b in the past and this year there is \$100,000 in the budget for this item. In the future, the 370 thinking is to move this to a \$200,000 level in FY15 and beyond. Schroeder said he would like to make 371 sure the Service has a chance to discuss this further to make sure things happening on a parallel track with 372 other entities are coordinated. LaBonde wanted to clarify that Heaston is asking for a cash match and not 373 just an in-kind activity match. Heaston said both if staff time is used but the primary thing now is the 374 matching cash of \$200,000. Schroeder asked if the grant coming from the Program will be eligible. 375 Farnsworth said the activities will be off Program lands and will be the same thing we have done the last 376 several years. Campbell said his concern is the limitation on matching federal dollars with federal dollars 377 (Program funds going toward a possible NRCS grant). Heaston said that is not what is being proposed. 378 Campbell said we could also consider budgeting those dollars with state money within the Program to 379 help with this idea. 380

381

Ament moved to endorse allocating \$200,000 per year in Program funds from line item WP1-b for the 382 next three Program fiscal years (FY15-FY17) to be considered as matching funds in the grant application 383 to the Nebraska Environmental Trust subject to Finance Committee approval of the final grant 384 application and distribution of the final grant application to the GC; Campbell seconded. Kraus asked 385 what the role of the Program and staff time is in this endeavor. Heaston said it wouldn't change from 386 what is being done now, meaning some time to help develop priorities and objectives for the activities. 387 Farnsworth said it is largely providing technical assistance like we are doing now and the Program's 388 consideration for staying involved in this activity include focusing on Lake McConaughy to Chapman; 389 spraying and vegetation removal and management; and applying management in a science framework 390 according to Program management objectives. Heaston abstained from voting. Motion approved. 391

393 **Public Comment**

- ³⁹⁴ LaBonde asked for public comment; none offered.
- 395

396 **Executive Session**

- 397 Schneider moved to enter Executive Session; Williams seconded. GC entered Executive Session at 9:42
 398 a.m. Mountain time.
- 399

392

Heaston moved to end Executive Session; Berryman seconded. GC ended Executive Session at 10:15
a.m. Mountain time.

402



403 **PRRIP Executive Session Motions**

- 404 *Czaplewski moved to approve the LAC recommendation to cease pursuit of Tract 1402; Heaston* 405 *seconded.* **Motion approved.**
- 406

Heaston moved and Schneider seconded to approve the LAC recommendation for the Platte River
 Recreation Access Program including:

- *Continue all prior parts of the agreement.*
- No change in the list of compatible uses.
- All complex lands be able to be used for all compatible uses subject to ecological and good
 neighbor policies.
- Add Tract 2010004, the Binfield property.

414 **Motion approved.**

Heaston moved to put further discussion of the water storage contract with CNPPID on the next FC
agenda; Strauch seconded. Czaplewski said in light of the nature of the agreement the NRDs abstain.
Kraus abstained. Hovorka said the Program owes CNPPID an answer instead of just saying go back and
fix it. Barels said this agreement may also have effects on other agreements between NPPD and Central
and they will have other discussions with Central about that. Motion approved.

421 Future Meetings & Closing Business

Campbell suggested sending a Doodle poll for the first week of August for an additional FC meeting to address the items discussed at the GC meeting today. Kenny said a Doodle poll would be distributed.

424

420

425 Czaplewski said there has been some discussion at least among parties in Nebraska about trying to wrap 426 up GC meetings in one day when the agenda is on the lighter side. Campbell said the difficulty is the 427 Kearney meeting for the BOR because it will always require an overnight. Schneider said it is worth 428 considering in the future when that is a possibility.

429

430 Upcoming 2014 GC meetings:

- September 9-10, 2014 @ Kearney, NE
- December 2-3, 2104 @ Denver, CO
- 434 Meeting adjourned at 10:28 a.m. Mountain Time.
- 435

433

436 Summary of Action Items/Decisions from June 2014 GC meeting

- 1) Approved the March 2014 GC minutes.
- 438 2) Approved the multi-year contract for Independent Science Review services.
- 439 3) Endorsed allocating \$200,000 per year in Program funds from line item WP1-b for the next three
 440 Program fiscal years (FY15-FY17) to be considered as matching funds in the grant application to the
 441 Nebraska Environmental Trust subject to Finance Committee approval of the final grant application
 442 and distribution of the final grant application to the GC.
- 443 4) Approved the LAC recommendation to cease pursuit of Tract 1402.
- 5) Approved the LAC recommendation for the Platte River Recreation Access Program including:
- Continue all prior parts of the agreement.
- No change in the list of compatible uses.



09/09/2014

- All complex lands be able to be used for all compatible uses subject to ecological and good neighbor policies.
- Add Tract 2010004, the Binfield property.
- 6) Approved putting further discussion of the CNPPID water storage contract on the next FC agenda.