



1 **PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM**
2 **Water Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes**
3 Nebraska Game and Parks Commission – Lake McConaughy Visitors Center
4 August 11, 2015

5
6
7 **Meeting Attendees**

8
9 **Water Advisory Committee (WAC)**

10 **State of Colorado**

11 Suzanne Sellers – Member

12
13 **State of Wyoming**

14 Bryan Clerkin – Member

15 Lee Arrington – Alternate (phone)

16
17 **State of Nebraska**

18 Jessie Weitjes

19
20 **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**

21 Tom Econopouly – Member

22
23 **U.S. Bureau of Reclamation**

24 Brock Merrill – Alternate

25
26 **Downstream Water Users**

27 Cory Steinke – Chair

28 Duane Woodward – Member

29 Jeff Shafer – Member

30 Landon Shaw – Member

31 Nolan Little

32 Tyler Thulin

33 Mike Drain

34
35 **Colorado Water Users**

36 Jon Altenhofen – Member

37 Luke Shawcross (phone)

38
39 **Upper Platte Water Users**

40 Dennis Strauch – Member

41
42 **Environmental Groups**

43 Duane Hovorka – Member (phone)

44 Bill Taddicken – Member

45

46

47

Executive Director’s Office (ED Office)

Jerry Kenny, ED

Scott Griebing

Seth Turner



48 **Welcome and Administrative:** *Cory Steinke, WAC Chair*

49 Introductions were made. There were no agenda modifications. Steinke reported no changes to
50 the May 2015 WAC meeting minutes. Motion to approve was made by Woodward and
51 seconded by Shafer, and the May 2015 minutes were unanimously approved.

52

53 **WAP Project and Water Updates**

54 ***J-2 Regulating Reservoirs: Cory Steinke, CNPPID***

55 Steinke reported that there was a closed-door meeting prior to the start of the August 11 WAC
56 meeting to discuss issues that have emerged in the past 10 days. The J2 project team is not being
57 secretive, but distributing information selectively. New information provided by RJH indicates
58 that costs for the J2 Regulating Reservoirs have increased significantly. Kenny reported that
59 there is a pending meeting with the Nebraska Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to
60 discuss, and additional meetings will be held with Colorado, Colorado Water Users, and others.
61 There are plans to report on project status to the GC in September.

62

63 Econopouly asked about the reasons for the increased costs for the project. Steinke responded
64 that it was a number of things, including construction costs, design concept changes, and other
65 factors. Steinke also reported that the Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District
66 (CNPPID) is moving forward with land acquisition at the project site, and cultural studies will
67 continue this fall after crops are out.

68

69 ***Phelps Groundwater Recharge Pumping: Jerry Kenny, ED***

70 Kenny reported that the EDO's Sartori presented to the Tri-Basin Natural Resources District
71 (NRD) in July. There are no project obstructions anticipated, but rule changes are required to
72 complete implementation. Votes on the rule changes by the Tri-Basin NRD are expected soon.

73

74 ***CPNRD Water Leasing: Duane Woodward, CPNRD***

75 Woodward reported that the water rights for the proposed water transfers have been filed. A
76 handout was provided that shows calculations for the proposed transfers and return flows (e.g.,
77 diversions, consumptive use, water needed to be returned, excess diversions and recharge,
78 estimated costs) for Thirty Mile Canal, Cozad Canal, and Orchard-Alfalfa (aka Southside). High
79 flows in 2015 made this a good year to experiment with water leases.

80

81 ***NPPD Water Leasing: Jeff Shafer, NPPD and Jerry Kenny, ED***

82 Kenny reported that negotiations are still underway with regard to cost, and that they are also
83 trying to determine return flow obligations and other relevant factors. There are plans to have a
84 meeting with the new director of the Nebraska DNR.

85

86 ***CNPPID Water Leasing: Jerry Kenny, ED***

87 Kenny reported a significant amount of progress made recently. An arrangement has been
88 established in which irrigators under the CNPPID system would relinquish surface water to be
89 leased to the Program. Water leasing will proceed initially under a one-year pilot program, which
90 will be limited to 3,000 acres. It is anticipated that much of the water will come from fallowing



91 or dryland farming pivot corners. Steinke reported that there will be checking to make sure land
92 really was irrigated prior to the water being made available for leasing.

93
94 The coming year will be an excellent opportunity to test the water leasing concept. Following a
95 wet year (2015), Lake McConaughy is near-full, CNPPID irrigators will receive a full allocation
96 in 2016, and no swapping of water will be allowed within CNPPID system. As a result, the
97 Program will be the only customer in the leasing market. Kenny stated that this will be expensive
98 water for the Program, but it would otherwise just be held in storage in Lake McConaughy.
99 Ultimately, the pilot program will be low risk, potentially high reward opportunity.

100
101 A draft lease agreement presented to the CNPPID board and approved, but there may still be
102 minor tweaking. The agreement will be presented to GC in September 2015, and the lease
103 market could be opened in October 2015. Acquired water would be transferred to
104 Environmental Account (EA) in Lake McConaughy; the timing of this reallocation would
105 probably be Fall 2016.

106
107 Taddicken expressed concern about ability to actually release water whenever requested; Steinke
108 and Kenny assured that it should not be an issue. Releases from the EA for the benefit of the
109 Program could be made along with regular CNPPID irrigation deliveries, representing only a
110 small percentage of total releases.

111
112 ***Other Water Updates (Wet Meadows, COHYST, and 2015 High Flows): Scott Griebing, EDO***

113
114 Wet Meadows Peer Reviews—Griebing reported that the EDO is crafting responses to
115 comments, and hopes to have responses to work group in September, but won't be ready for GC
116 yet. There are WAC and TAC members in the work group and the EDO intends to have
117 responses ready for the December 2015 GC.

118
119 COHYST—Griebing reported that progress is being made on the development of the graphical
120 user interface (GUI) for the COHYST models. An initial version of the GUI is working,
121 successfully running an integration of all three COHYST models (groundwater, surface water,
122 and watershed). The GUI automates the process of running the models and saves a lot of
123 processing time. There will be continued testing of the GUI by the COHYST group and the
124 EDO. Other updates to the models include extending the simulation period (from 1947 to 2010).

125
126 2015 High Flows—Griebing reported that the EDO is preparing memorandum summarizing
127 high flow events since 2007; this document will be presented to the WAC in October. In 2015,
128 Grand Island peaked at 16,200 cfs, flows not seen since 1996. The 2015 high flow period lasted
129 for 58 days in May, June, and July; return periods were estimated for flow volumes over various
130 durations (e.g., 7-day, 14-day, 21-day, 42-day, etc) that ranged from 24 to 39 years. It was also
131 noted that the 58-day flow volume during the 2015 high flow period exceeded the entire 4-year
132 flow volume measured at Grand Island from 2003-2006.

133



134 Econopouly added that there is presently a lot of water in the EA (~69,000 AF). Deliveries from
135 Pathfinder Reservoir will soon be made (combined volume of about 43,000 AF from the
136 Pathfinder Modification allocation and the Pathfinder Municipal Account lease). USFWS is
137 looking at ways to release at different times to optimize the beneficial use of EA water for
138 habitat and species purposes.

139

140 **Update on Choke Point and State Channel Modification:** *Jerry Kenny, ED*

141 Kenny reported that a major breakthrough was achieved in a recent meeting with the Corps of
142 Engineers. The central issue involves impacted wetlands from filling in the hole in the dike and
143 from getting soil to fill the hole.

144

145 Mitigation was proposed involving the Program’s downstream Fox Tract in the Fort Kearny
146 Complex, which includes acres of constructed wetlands. A few acres of these wetlands could be
147 separated and designated to the Corps. The Corps took issue with the “complex” being in a
148 different HUC than the work site in question (1,200 feet apart). Additionally, the Corps also took
149 issue with Fox Tract wetlands now being “existing” even though they were created by the
150 Program.

151

152 A resolution was reached involving new lands acquired by the Program across the road from the
153 Fox Tract. The Corps agreed to waive the HUC issue if the Program creates new wetlands at 4:1
154 ratio, or about 8-10 acres of new wetlands on the lands across from the Fox Tract.

155

156 Therefore, hopefully by next summer, the State Channel Modification will be in place. The
157 National Weather Service (NWS) is eager to raise flood stage from 6 feet to 6.5 feet, highly
158 motivated to get away from the scenario (which occurred in the very-dry 2012) in which high
159 flows released for downstream irrigation trigger flood stage. The Program and NWS will need to
160 coordinate with county emergency services personnel, and need to demonstrate (at 6.5 feet) that
161 there is no threat to people, property, or the national economy.

162

163 Sellers asked how the demonstration would occur—model or otherwise. Kenny responded that it
164 has to be a demonstration of real water flowing at a stage of 6.5 feet.

165

166 **North Platte Basin Water Resources Development Timeline:** *Seth Turner, EDO*

167 Turner presented on the status of a water resources development timeline the EDO has been
168 pulling together from more than 80 reference sources. The objectives of the study include
169 identifying irrigation diversions and reservoirs, when the structures were built and by whom,
170 water rights, physical capacities, and other details. The EDO intends to use this information to
171 better understand the overall history of the Platte River basin and the cause-and-effect
172 relationships of development and river changes.

173

174 This information may also serve as the basis for additional technical work on the development of
175 naturalized flows and analysis of morphological changes in the rivers. The timeline presently
176 includes the North Platte River from its headwaters in Colorado to the confluence at North Platte,



177 Nebraska, including tributaries such as the Laramie River, Horse Creek, Pumpkin Creek, and
178 Blue Creek. The South Platte and central Platte River mainstem from the CO-NE state line to
179 Kearney are also included. The next step with the timeline is to add the South Platte Basin of
180 Colorado.

181

182 **Excess Flow Determination Methods:** *Scott Griebing, EDO*

183 Griebing gave a presentation on excess flow determination, and reported that there is a memo
184 available for review on the WAC website. The purpose of this review was to standardize
185 methodology, enhance coordination, and act as water user guidance to the Nebraska DNR. The
186 work by the EDO and the Program was a suggestion to the DNR, which ultimately makes the
187 determination of available excess flows (presently based on flows at Grand Island). Jesse
188 Bradley at the DNR provided comments on the work by the EDO and the Program.

189

190 Steinke illustrated concerns from the perspective of downstream water users: There are two
191 days' river travel time from the J2 return to Grand Island. If, for example, the flow is 2,500 cfs at
192 Overton, but only 1,100 cfs at Grand Island, users could take water today at Overton, knowing
193 the wave would get to Grand Island in two days and still satisfy flow targets. Use of Grand
194 Island as the determining gage would require waiting two days until the flow reached Grand
195 Island, resulting in missed diversion opportunities,

196

197 Griebing stated that if there are no objections, the EDO will not suggest any alternate method to
198 the DNR. No objections were registered.

199

200 **Hydrologic Conditions Review:** *Scott Griebing, EDO*

201 Griebing presented on the methods and calculations used to determine hydrologic condition
202 (e.g., wet, normal, or dry) at various intervals. The hydrologic condition is used to set target
203 flows on real-time and annual bases. The annual hydrologic condition is assigned retroactively
204 based on average annual flow at Grand Island. Wet years are defined as the highest 33% (≥ 1575
205 cfs), dry years are the lowest 25% (≤ 939 cfs), and normal years are those with average annual
206 flows in-between (940-1574 cfs). Annual hydrologic condition is used in various analyses, for
207 example those involving OPSTUDY (1947-1994) data. Last year (2014) was designated as a
208 "normal" hydrologic condition. The real-time hydrologic condition looks ahead, and is used
209 more to guide operations. The method for determining real-time hydrologic condition is based on
210 a paper published by Anderson and Rodney of the USFWS.

211

212 In addition, the EDO has been experimenting with development of a temporary hydrologic
213 condition for short periods (e.g., two weeks) when Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) values
214 needed for real-time hydrologic condition calculations are not yet available. Presentation of this
215 method and results led to an extended discussion. Griebing stated that the approach so far has
216 been to minimize the amount of time that supposed excesses are diverted when they shouldn't
217 be. Steinke added that the concern for the water users is how efficient or aggressive they can be
218 with diverting excesses without causing problems with the target flows.

219



220 Recommendations are for the EDO to refine the methods for temporary hydrologic condition. In
221 particular, the EDO will review the percentage of time the temporary method predicts dry and
222 the real-time ends up normal; the percentage of time dry condition is predicted dry and the real-
223 time is dry; and the percentage of time the temporary predicts normal but the real-time result is
224 dry. Kenny stated that the EDO will complete this additional work and then present to the WAC
225 again, before presenting to the GC. Whether or not to use the temporary hydrologic condition
226 may ultimately be a policy decision for the GC.

227

228 **2014 Annual Flow Summary:** *Scott Griebing, EDO*

229 Griebing presented the updated version of the 2014 Annual Flow Summary Report, noting the
230 changes in the document due to newly available data. Griebing also presented cumulative
231 hydrographs for the FWS target flows.

232

233 **Weekly Flow Summary Introduction and Website Tutorial:** *Scott Griebing, EDO*

234 The EDO prepares weekly flow summaries, usually on Monday or Tuesday. These include
235 several weeks of flow data through the critical habitat reach (e.g., gage flows at Overton,
236 Kearney, and Grand Island), as well as point flows at gages and diversion structures upstream as
237 reported by the Nebraska DNR and other agencies. The weekly flow summaries were previously
238 sent out internally by email, but will now be made available to the public on the Program website
239 under the “Publications and Data” tab.

240

241 Griebing also gave a brief presentation on how to use the Program website. He noted that the
242 website is designed to work best with Internet Explorer, as some functionality is not available in
243 other browsers such as Firefox or Chrome. Also of note, some pages such as “Pictures” require
244 clicking small arrows at the top or bottom of the page to get to additional content on other pages.

245

246 **2016 Draft Water Plan Budget:** *Jerry Kenny, ED*

247 Kenny presented on the proposed 2016 Water Plan budget. Numbers presented were current as
248 of the meeting date, but subject to change. The following bullets offer a summary of Kenny’s
249 slides:

250

- 251 • WP-1: Chokepoint (\$1.2M)
 - 252 ○ Recent progress/breakthroughs on permitting
 - 253 ○ Vegetation clearing
 - 254 ■ Local landowners requesting that the Program do these actions
 - 255 ■ Keep everything in the realm of not needing a permit from the Corps
 - 256 ○ Land acquisition
 - 257 ○ State Channel Berm modification construction, possible in Fall 2015, but most
 - 258 likely in 2016.
- 259 • WP-4: WAP Projects (\$17.3M)
 - 260 ○ Mostly J2 regulating reservoirs (\$14.4M)
 - 261 ■ RJH is updating feasibility cost
 - 262 ■ Room was left in budget for potential ~10% increase in cost



- 263 ○ Recharge and Pumping (\$264,000)
- 264 ▪ Phelps County Canal recharge (\$28/AF)
- 265 ▪ Elwood Reservoir recharge (\$43/AF) – 500 AF delivery
- 266 ▪ Augmentation well on Cook (budget for 2 wells, one currently planned in
- 267 the Tri-Basin NRD - \$44/AF)
- 268 ○ Water Leasing (\$2.7M)
- 269 ▪ CPNRD transferred surface water
- 270 ▪ CNPPID Irrigator surface water
- 271 • Assume 3,000 acres at 9” water/acre = 2,250 AFY
- 272 • During full allocation years
- 273 • Water available in Lake McConaughy
- 274 • Irrigators switch to dryland farming for parcels from which water
- 275 leased
- 276 ▪ CNPPID storage water, NPNRD surface water, NPPD surface water
- 277 • WP-5: Management Tool, e.g., COHYST (\$30,000)
- 278 • WP-8: Special Advisors (\$100,000)
- 279 ○ Hydrogeology (Bill Hahn)
- 280 ○ Economics (George Oamek)
- 281 ○ Civil engineer for reservoir design (as J2 design progresses)
- 282 • WP-9: Water Resources Studies, e.g., hydroclimatic indices (\$25,000)
- 283 ○ Past years cost-share with CWCB
- 284 ○ Program in the lead now
- 285 ○ Just received North Platte draft from Dewberry
- 286 ○ Work this year was to make more quantitative assessment of flows into Lewellen
- 287

288 Merrill suggested color-coding the cost summary table to identify those projects that are already
289 under contract.

291 **Additional Business:** *Cory Steinke, WAC Chair*

292 Upcoming meeting schedule:

- 293 • GC on September 8-9 in Kearney
- 294 • WAC on October 20 at Lake McConaughy Visitors Center
- 295 • GC on December 1-2 in Denver

297 **Action Items**

298 General WAC

- 299 • None

300 ED Office

- 301 • Conduct further temporary hydrologic condition analysis
- 302 • Color code cost summary table by contracted projects