



1 **PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM (PRRIP or Program)**
2 **Governance Committee (GC) Conference Call Minutes**
3 October 14, 2016

4
5 **Meeting Attendees**

6
7 **Governance Committee (GC)**

8 **State of Wyoming**

9 Harry LaBonde – Voting Member
10 Brian Clerkin – Alternate

11
12 **State of Colorado**

13 Don Ament – Voting Member (GC Chair)
14 Carlee Brown – Alternate
15 Suzanne Sellers – Alternate

16
17 **State of Nebraska**

18 Jennifer Schellpeper – Alternate

19
20
21 **Upper Platte Water Users**

22 Dennis Strauch – Voting Member
23 Bob Mehling – Alternate

24
25
26 **Downstream Water Users**

27 Mark Czaplewski – Member
28 Don Kraus – Member
29 Brian Barels – Member
30 Kent Miller – Member
31 Mike Drain – Alternate

32
33 **Executive Director’s Office (EDO)**

34 Jerry Kenny, ED
35 Jason Farnsworth
36 Bruce Sackett
37 Chad Smith

Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation)

 Chris Beardsley – Voting Member
 Brock Merrill – Alternate

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service)

 Tom Econopouly – Alternate

Environmental Entities

 Rich Walters – Member
 Duane Hovorka – Member

Colorado Water Users

 Alan Berryman – Voting Member
 Kevin Urie – Alternate
 Deb Freeman – Alternate



38 **Welcome & Administrative**

39 Kenny ran through the list of conference participants. Ament called the meeting to order at 10:06 AM
40 Central Time.

41
42 *Econopouly moved to approve the September 13-14, 2016 GC Quarterly Meeting minutes; Berryman*
43 *seconded. Minutes approved.*

44
45 *LaBonde moved to approve the October 6, 2016 GC Conference Call minutes; Barels seconded. Minutes*
46 *approved.*

47
48 **First Increment Extension**

49 The GC discussed the latest draft of the First Increment Extension Proposal and Budget. The following
50 edits were discussed:

51
52 **Proposed Edit #1** – delete Footnote 2 regarding Jeffrey Island.

53 **Discussion** – LaBonde asked for clarification related to Jeffrey Island. Is FERC requiring that it enter the
54 Program? Drain said there is no requirement from FERC for it to become part of the Program. There seems
55 to be concern about the Program managing it for slightly different purposes than the purposes contained in
56 the FERC licenses. Farnsworth said the requirement is that the Service has to ask to bring in Jeffrey Island.
57 Kraus said it was never the intent that the Service or the Program would buy the land.

58 **GC Decision** – delete Footnote 2.

59
60 **Proposed Edit #2** – ‘up to’ 1,500 acres and change Land Management Objective to 11,500 acres.

61 **Discussion** – Econopouly said the Service wants it to read “acquire at least” 1,500 acres of complex of
62 land, no matter what is achieved by 2019. Sellers asked if there has been a look as to whether there are
63 1,500 acres of complex land that might be available during this time period. Kenny said the words don’t
64 specify this now but discussion in the past centered around the Gibbon to Shelton reach. There is the
65 potential for 1,500 acres (willing seller/willing buyer) in this reach. Ament asked what if we only find 1,450
66 acres. Econopouly said the Service considers 1,500 the floor, we would need “at least” or slightly more.
67 Barels said we know non-complex habitat lands like sandpits benefits the species while we are not sure
68 how much benefit comes from 1,500 acres. Being a species-focused program, we ought to allow the
69 acquisition of sandpits to benefit the species if they are available. Barels said the Downstream Water Users
70 are interested in what the new goal is with these new acres. The current Land Objective is 10,000 acres, so
71 why would it now be 11,500 acres in the Extension. Freeman said her understanding is the 1,500 acres was
72 intended to “true up” the calculations for land heading into the Second Increment. Barels said to be
73 consistent with the First Increment goal, should this instead read “at least 11,500 acres” for the First
74 Increment Goal. Farnsworth said we already have over 12,000 acres so he was under the impression that
75 the 1,500 acres was supposed to be a plus-up. Merrill said that was his understanding as well. Econopouly
76 said that is correct. Merrill said he doesn’t want land to not count toward the new 1,500 acres if we buy it
77 before 2020. Barels said we need to understand what the basis of the measurement is. Kraus said we need
78 to have this figured out.

79
80 Kenny said there appears to be two issues related to the 1,500 acres:

- 81 1) Are the 1,500 acres complex only or complex AND non-complex?
82 2) 1,500 acres in relation to what? The current Land Objective, the current holdings of 12,000 acres, how
83 much we have at the end of 2019, making the total at least 11,500, 1,500 plus some number setting a
84 higher target?

85 **GC Decision** – change bullet point to read “Acquire at least an additional 1,500 acres with the intent of
86 establishing a new habitat complex.”



87 **Proposed Edit #3** – delete “maximum amount of.”

88 **Discussion** – Barels said the Downstream Water Users did not want to infer we would take money away
89 from the 1,500 acres to achieve the water objective. Our concern was this language would require all the
90 Extension money to spent on this if necessary.

91 **GC Decision** – accept edit.

92

93 **Proposed Edit #4** – change “the Program is” to “the Signatories are.”

94 **Discussion** – Barels said it was semantics, the resources come from the Signatories. Ament said the
95 Signatories have the resources but this is a Program and he gets nervous if we split things up. Beardsley
96 said this language change seems divisive and suggests leaving the language as “the Program is”.

97 **GC Decision** – reject edit.

98

99 **Proposed Edit #5** – change choke point capacity to 4,000 cfs (Service) or 5,000 cfs (Environmental
100 Entities).

101 **Discussion** – Kenny said the capacity right now at the Choke Point is right at 2,000 cfs. Based on modeling
102 work, at a stage of 6.5 feet we might not make the 3,000 cfs capacity. We investigated with regard to
103 easements and acquisitions, if we jumped to a stage of 7 feet how much more would that involved. The
104 model results indicated not a lot. At the September GC meeting, the specter of groundwater was raised and
105 that is now an issue we have to address if we are going to contemplate a raise in flood stage. That may open
106 up a larger area of concern. Kenny said his preference is to keep the language as “at least 3,000 cfs” because
107 right now there is a large amount of uncertainty related to achieving 4,000 or 5,000 cfs and those higher
108 numbers might lock the Program into a much more expensive structural alternative. Walters said he
109 understands the constraints at North Platte, but we need to be able to get water through this choke point to
110 be able to test flow management actions.

111 **GC Decision** – change language to “...maintaining at least 3,000 cfs conveyance capacity while remaining
112 below flood stage, with additional capacity developed as practicably achievable with available resources.”

113

114 **Proposed Edit #6** – add bullet points on updating AMP and evaluating target flows.

115 **Discussion** – Barels said the bullet on target flows is just a statement in reference to a sentence regarding
116 target flows in the introductory language of this proposal. Regarding the AMP update language, it should
117 be clear where we have gotten in relation to the AMP in the First Increment. It seems like we should move
118 into the Extension with a new AMP that contains the hypotheses that will be tested during the Extension
119 based on what we have accomplished or not accomplished during the First Increment.

120 **GC Decision** – delete the “Management Objective” section and all related bullet points.

121

122 Beardsley asked how do we continue to move this document forward and still have conversations regarding
123 Signatory funding? Ament said we need to ask the questions now so that we can approved the Extension
124 Proposal on November 2 and then start our work to put the dollars together for implementation.

125

126 **Future Meetings & Closing Business**

127 2016 AMP Reporting Session:

- 128 ○ **October 18-19, 2016** @ Omaha, NE (ISAC meets alone with EDO on Oct. 20)
- 129 Hilton Garden Inn Downtown

130

131 Upcoming GC meetings:

- 132 ○ **November 2, 2016** @ Denver, CO (Special Session – FY17 Budget and First Increment
- 133 Extension Proposal & Budget)
- 134 Country Inn & Suites – Denver International Airport

135



- 136 ○ **December 6-7, 2016** @ Denver, CO (Quarterly Meeting)
137 Warwick Denver

138

139 **Meeting adjourned at 11:58 AM Central Time.**

140

141 **Summary of Action Items/Decisions from October 14, 2016 GC Conference Call**

- 142 1) Approved the September 13-14, 2016 GC Quarterly Meeting minutes.
143 2) Approved the October 6, 2016 GC Conference Call minutes.
144 3) The GC discussed and agreed to edits for the Extension Proposal.